

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR

PLANNING BOARD

December 12, 2012

MEMBERS PRESENT: JERRY ARGENIO, CHAIRMAN
DANIEL GALLAGHER
HOWARD BROWN
HENRY VAN LEEUWEN
DAVID SHERMAN

ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.
PLANNING BOARD ATTORNEY

MARK EDSALL, P.E.
PLANNING BOARD ENGINEER

JENNIFER GALLAGHER
BUILDING INSPECTOR

NICOLE PELESHUCK
PLANNING BOARD SECRETARY

ABSENT: HARRY FERGUSON

MEETING AGENDA:

1. Silver Stream MHP
2. Temple Hill Apartments S.P.
3. At Your Service Plumbing
4. Scheible lot line change

REGULAR MEETING:

MR. ARGENIO: Welcome everybody, I want to call the December 12 regular meeting for the Town of New Windsor Planning Board to order. Please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.

(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

MR. ARGENIO: First off members thank you for everything you've done over the past year, for your good judgment, for your counsel, professionals, thank you for the same thing as well. Everybody up here has

in front of them a schedule for next year, take a look at the schedule, digest it, we'll vote on whether to adopt that meeting schedule for next year at the first meeting in January of 2013 so we'll adopt it in 2013 at the first meeting or we'll make changes, maybe, who the heck knows. You guys take a look at it, tell me what you think. Number two, first meeting in January please come 15 minutes early, it will be our reorganization meeting and we'll talk about vice chairman and chairman, et cetera, et cetera. Number three, I'm going to propose to you guys, Henry, Danny, David and Howard and we'll talk about this, our first meeting of January I would like to propose to move these meetings to 7:00 rather than 7:30 and I'm going to, it's selfish and self-serving that half an hour allows me to see my kids before they go to bed as long as the meeting doesn't run too late. But I'm being honest. If it doesn't work for everybody, that's okay. Mark or Dominic, you guys have any initial problem with that?

MR. EDSALL: I think it's a great change and a lot of boards have gone that way.

MR. ARGENIO: Think about it and we'll vote on it, whatever the majority election.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES DATED 9/26/12, 10/10/12 & 10/24/12

MR. ARGENIO: So all that said, if anybody sees fit, I'll accept a motion for approval of the minutes dated September 26, October 10, and October 24, 2012.

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: So moved.

MR. BROWN: Second it.

ROLL CALL

MR. BROWN	AYE
MR. GALLAGHER	AYE
MR. VAN LEEUWEN	AYE
MR. SHERMAN	AYE
MR. ARGENIO	AYE

MR. ARGENIO: David, why don't you come on up, man, looks like if Harry does come in he'll have to sit in punishment.

December 12, , 2012

3

ANNUAL MOBILE HOME PARK REVIEW:

SILVER STREAM MOBILE HOME PARK

MR. ARGENIO: Is somebody here from Silver Stream Mobile Home Park? I don't see anybody. Okay, so if they come in, Nicole, please just point it out to me. That said, we'll get right on to the regular item.

REGULAR ITEMS:

TEMPLE HILL APARTMENTS SITE PLAN (11-14)

MR. ARGENIO: The first regular item on tonight's agenda is Temple Hill Apartments site plan, totally affordable site plan by Warwick Properties. The plan, the application proposes 272 unit multi-family residential development on 19 1/2 acres. The plan was previously reviewed at the 14 September 2011, 9 November 2011, 8 August 2012, 10 October 2012 and the 24 October 2012 and last but not least 14 of November 2012 planning board meetings. I see Mr. Pfau is here, I see Mr. Mandelbaum in the audience. So Joe, I have a couple things I'd like to ask you about but in the interim, why don't you tell us what you've done and what you've not done, give us an update.

MR. PFAU: As Mark had indicated in his letter, we've had a couple workshop meetings since the last meeting to bang out the technical items in his previous review comments. I believe we have a handle on those. Next I want to highlight for the most part the big ticket items that were issues at the last planning board meeting. One of the big ones was the sequencing plan and what we have done is on the first sheet we have added some notes, let me start out by saying we did not make a formal submission for this.

MR. ARGENIO: I'm aware of that.

MR. PFAU: What we had done we indicated some general notes on the first page, I'll just go through them, there are notes 11, 12 and 13, it basically states the mass grading for the entire site shall be completed as outlined on sheet 11 prior to installation of utilities, that's going to be the mass grading.

MR. ARGENIO: Say that again.

MR. PFAU: Mass grading of the entire site shall be completed as outlined on sheet 11, which I will go through, that's just the sectionalization but the mass grading of the cuts and fills and that's going to be completed prior to the installation of the utilities. The second one says the proposed Road A, which is the town road and associated utilities are to be installed prior to the construction of the proposed senior housing and work force site improvements. So that will be the second part. And then the third one, the

proposed widening of New York State Route 300 shall be completed prior to the first issuance of a C.O. of any of the proposed building.

MR. ARGENIO: I think that accurately reflects what we discussed. Mark?

MR. EDSALL: Yes, and it's actually documenting everything the board discussed.

MR. PFAU: And then this is on the second sheet of the erosion control plan, this is part of the erosion control plan set and what we did was we basically broke out the mass grading into five separate phases, Phase I is the roadway and this is broken into individual phases based on the DEC requirements for the five acre maximum disturbance. Phase I is going to be the grading along the roadway, Phase II the cuts and fills on both sides of the roadway in the first section, Phase III same thing, it's going to be cuts out at the top and placed into the fill on this section and Phase IV as well Phase IV cut out of here, build on this portion of Phase V and Phase V because of the five acre maximum disturbance but all three phases will have dry ponds associated with them so there will be sediment ponds.

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: Holding ponds?

MR. PFAU: Yes, during all the movement operations. So I think, and then we have a general note that there is to be no more disturbance than five acres at a time so that I think that hopefully took care of the sequencing of the job, especially having to do with the mass grading. We also submitted because there was a question, yes, I'm sorry?

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: How deep is the fill going to be?

MR. PFAU: Cuts and fills.

MR. ARGENIO: There's some big fills here.

MR. PFAU: There's some cuts and fills in the neighborhood of 10 feet.

MR. ARGENIO: Why didn't you seek relief with the DEC from the five acre rule?

MR. MANDELBAUM: I'm sorry, did I seek relief? No, we

can try.

MR. ARGENIO: Just curious.

MR. MANDELBAUM: It makes sense what you're saying.

MR. ARGENIO: They'll give you that relieve depending on the pitch you give them. And the reason I mention it my thought has always been that, you know, if you have a competent guy doing the work better to go in there, hammer the thing, disturb it and stabilize it.

MR. MANDELBAUM: Do it once.

MR. ARGENIO: Rather than drag it out, sometimes more of an environmental issue than anything else.

MR. MANDELBAUM: I see. Joe's writing notes, makes sense the way you explain it. Really the right way to do it.

MR. ARGENIO: Knock it out, you have your disturbance, stabilize it.

MR. PFAU: Just the last part of that there was an issue at the last planning board meeting, we submitted our volume calculations so we do have a balanced site with the cuts and fills because that was a question that had come up and I stated that it was balanced but we provided the actual calculations I think were within 150 cubic feet.

MR. ARGENIO: How many yards of dirt?

MR. PFAU: Total, it's a lot, 90,000 90,000 cut, 90,000 fill.

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: Someplace going to be 10 feet, what are you going to do, tamp as you go along?

MR. PFAU: Yes, actually it's 90,343 cut, 90,190 fill so there's an excess of 150 yards.

MR. ARGENIO: Okay, what else you got, Joe?

MR. PFAU: Just to hit some more high points, what we had done with the plans we actually added a few extra sheets just to spread the information out because we had a lot of information on the cover sheet at a 60 scale so we provided additional sheets showing

dimensional information with regards to radii and that type of thing. We fixed all the bulk requirements, what little issues there were with that, we added crosswalks, signs for handicapped, all the particular things we actually added to the site plan set. There was an issue with regard to the access into the, we had increased the right-of-way to 50 foot and we indicate on here that it's a possible future access drive and it will require planning board approval prior to the construction of that drive.

MR. ARGENIO: Can you go to drawing three? Do you have that there? It's called the grading plan.

MR. PFAU: Yes.

MR. ARGENIO: What's this here?

MR. PFAU: That's a planter bed that's part of the storm water pollution prevention plan, the roof leaders in the rear of the buildings will go into that, it's a form of storm water treatment.

MR. ARGENIO: Like a big stone trench or something?

MR. PFAU: Yeah, it's got plants in it as well and an underdrain and it will drain out but it's a form of treatment, part of the new green technique. There's a detail on the detail sheets of that.

MR. ARGENIO: Where would I find that, do you know off the top of your head?

MR. PFAU: It would be on--

MR. ARGENIO: I have it, drawing 17 on the right-hand side, okay, I just was curious, I wanted to, I haven't seen that before.

MR. PFAU: With the new regulations they're asking to add sufficient green infrastructure and that's one of the popular ones DEC likes to use and we typically use that for treatment of the building roof.

MR. GALLAGHER: How deep of a swale is that?

MR. ARGENIO: Planting detail just to the left of that swale detail you're looking at.

MR. PFAU: Eighteen inches of road depth, 12 inch and

then there's a perforated pipe underneath that.

MR. ARGENIO: Okay, Joe, go ahead. Members, if anybody has a question, please just jump in.

MR. PFAU: That takes care of that. We have also completely addressed the details on the landscaping plans as far as mixing things up instead of everything being regimented and we have also added additional landscaping along the sides of the senior buildings that shows up on the detail plan as well.

MR. ARGENIO: I saw that, thank you.

MR. ARGENIO: I want to just for the benefit of the members and the public I want to go to something here, if you guys remember at the public hearing there's a lot of chatter about the safety of Route 300. I don't purport to be an expert in that area but because it was mentioned so many times, it's certainly something that I think this board would be foolish to overlook and we'd be doing the town a disservice and not be doing our jobs. Just ever so briefly, I want to read from the letter from the DOT and I want Mark to just offer like a little bit of layman's commentary for the benefit of the rest of the members. We have a letter from the New York State DOT and it says blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, that's the first page and then it goes on to talk about several high accident locations known as priority investigation locations have been identified near the development and those locations are Route 300 and County Route 69, Route 300 and 32, 94, which is Five Corners, we already know that, Route 94 and Route 32, and I'm going to read from this letter just for a moment. The applicant will be required to complete a highway safety investigation study and propose accident mitigation for the areas for the PIL located at and they list the route markers of Route 300. So I'd like to think that that was as a result of the planning board looking so closely at the traffic issue associated with this project but the state's going through this additional effort of having this highway safety investigation study being done so it certainly seems everybody is doing their due diligence and. So, briefly, Mark, could you please again in layman's terms for the benefit of the members describe to them what this highway safety investigation component refers to please?

MR. EDSALL: It is an additional submittal that's required to DOT as part of their highway work permit and it's called for in those cases where there's an area of concern based on an accident history. And it's interesting to note that the location is not either at the applicant's intersection with the state highway or anything relative to the immediate area of that intersection, it's actually back up at Union Avenue.

MR. ARGENIO: It's a few intersections away.

MR. EDSALL: Quite a ways away. But because it's within the area of influence of the overall traffic, they want as part of the highway work permit this evaluation to be made so that if there's any improvement that can be identified it would occur as part of that highway work permit.

MR. ARGENIO: They have identified those areas I would assume based on statistics.

MR. EDSALL: Based on accident history so it's a numerical trigger and it's good to note that there's not a location recommend relative to the intersection. This board is considering as part of approval it's just as when you do a traffic study you look at all nearby affected intersections, apparently there's a significant accident history up at 69.

MR. ARGENIO: That's the most important thing for me. Howard, Dave, Dan and Henry, just what Mark said that they're not identifying a history of significant accidents two, three, 400 yards up the road two, three, four up the road to the north or south, the problems are at the intersection north. So while I'm sure the people that spoke that evening at the public hearing were passionate about the, you know, the safety and what they spoke of, the statistics don't lie, the numbers don't lie. Joe, do you have a grip on this? Are you on top of this?

MR. PFAU: Yeah, we just submitted the traffic--

MR. ARGENIO: Phil's on top of it.

MR. MANDELBAUM: He has a copy of that letter.

MR. EDSALL: One important thing to note for the record is that the response from the state DOT did not include any specific comments pertaining to the intersection

which is shown on this application.

MR. ARGENIO: Why is that?

MR. EDSALL: They must be okay with it in concept, exactly. So the point is is if they had concerns or problems that would need to be addressed as part of the application before we would have to deal with it but they have no noted concerns, the additional submittal that's required is actually something that will not come to this board, it will be part of the highway work permit.

MR. ARGENIO: Mark, that doesn't surprise me and the reason I say that is because the consultants that Mr. Mandelbaum is using we know to be through years of experience the best in the business and obviously, you know, he's the guy that we use when we need traffic work done in the town. So Joe, what else do you have?

MR. PFAU: Everything else for the most part for the comments are details of utility details and cleanup, grading, I hit most of the highlights.

MR. ARGENIO: Relative to what you just said, are you actively working with Mark on getting that stuff cleaned up and getting it correct?

MR. PFAU: Yeah, as a matter of fact, I believe we have it cleaned up.

MR. EDSALL: All issues that were in my prior comments are either on their latest work set or there's a couple notes we have been just working out the final wording but they're done.

MR. ARGENIO: Where are you at with the town board?

MR. PFAU: I believe we have a meeting.

MR. MANDELBAUM: Next week on the 17th.

MR. PFAU: I think it's next week.

MR. MANDELBAUM: At 3:00 next Monday.

MR. ARGENIO: Is it fair for me to say, Joe, can you approach the dais, I do not have the most current plans with this symmetrical landscaping?

MR. PFAU: No.

MR. ARGENIO: These are not current?

MR. PFAU: No.

MR. ARGENIO: Can you ensure that next time you come, I'm not faulting you for this visit, next time you come can you make sure we have--

MR. PFAU: That plan might be but the overall set is not, we have another one.

MR. ARGENIO: You can see the symmetrical pattern that we're trying to get away from?

MR. EDSALL: The plans that we, in our last technical conference they had mixed up the plans so that they were less regimented throughout the whole site.

MR. ARGENIO: I want to make sure we see that because something that somebody here mentioned I don't remember who it was, Danny I think it might have been you, I want to make sure it gets put to bed.

MR. EDSALL: They had addressed that and part of the reason why the appearance occurred tonight was to give you an update but also to discuss the very important issue of the DOT progress.

MR. ARGENIO: I agree.

MR. EDSALL: Otherwise, after they're done with the town board, any additional changes they'll need to make as part of the special permit conditions when they come back in they should be a hundred percent up to speed.

MR. CORDISCO: Ready for final site plan approval at that time.

MR. ARGENIO: Dan, do you have any other thoughts?

MR. GALLAGHER: No.

MR. ARGENIO: You guys have any other thoughts on this?

MR. BROWN: No.

MR. SHERMAN: No.

MR. ARGENIO: He's done everything we've asked him to do. You certainly have. The biggest thing is the traffic and the safety in my mind at least.

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: I'd like to ask a question. You're not going to build forever on this where you're going to fill, the fill's coming in here?

MR. PFAU: We're not planning on a building there.

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: Okay.

MR. ARGENIO: That's a conservation easement.

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: I see that 2.23 acres.

MR. ARGENIO: Anything else we need to address?

MR. EDSALL: Not tonight, not that I'm aware of.

MR. ARGENIO: Counsel's not sleeping. Joe, what else can I tell you?

MR. PFAU: Hopefully, we'll wrap up with the town board and be back here for final approval.

MR. ARGENIO: Are we set with the walls, Mark, we talked about that?

MR. EDSALL: Yes, couple more notes we talked about.

MR. ARGENIO: Talked about the walls?

MR. EDSALL: Yes.

MR. ARGENIO: What did we talk about the walls?

MR. PFAU: About the height of the walls, what do you want to know?

MR. ARGENIO: What are they made out of?

MR. MANDELBAUM: Concrete two by two by fours.

MR. ARGENIO: Is that the case? Don't even waste your time, Joe, that's what we're talking about. That landscape plan at Town Hall, do you have that?

MR. EDSALL: I don't believe.

MRS. PELESHUCK: This is what I have.

MR. ARGENIO: When can you get that to her?

MR. PFAU: I'll bring it tomorrow.

MR. ARGENIO: I'll come see it tomorrow.

MRS. PELESHUCK: Okay.

MR. MANDELBAUM: What time you want it here, six?

MR. ARGENIO: I'll see you around 7:30.

MR. PFAU: I'll bring the full set.

MR. ARGENIO: I appreciate that, thank you.

MR. BROWN: Did we see any architectural rendering?

MR. ARGENIO: We didn't, Howard.

MR. PFAU: At the public hearing we brought some and I have this one is here, I did not bring the senior housing one with me but we had both of them at the public hearing.

MR. ARGENIO: What I was going to say, excuse me for interrupting, what I was going to say I didn't remember seeing it, if they say we did, I'm sure we did. What I was going to say I'm sure it's going to be similar to the other units that he did.

MR. MANDELBAUM: I can tell you--

MR. ARGENIO: Seems to me the other complexes I think they look pretty nice.

MR. BROWN: No problem with the senior but I have never seen the work force.

MR. MANDELBAUM: Senior housing is identical to the first complex within New Windsor.

MR. BROWN: Not a problem.

MR. MANDELBAUM: This is actually the work force housing you're looking at right here, this is it.

MR. ARGENIO: Stone on the bottom?

MR. MANDELBAUM: I've done them in Wallkill with the stone, looks very nice so I may just continue but this is the actual building, looks just like that.

MR. ARGENIO: I've seen the one in Wallkill, I think the stone looks nice, I do, I think it looks nice.

MR. MANDELBAUM: I know but stone walls--

MR. ARGENIO: It's fake stone, not even real stone.

MR. MANDELBAUM: My mason don't work for five dollars an hour.

MR. ARGENIO: Maybe do it in real stone.

MR. MANDELBAUM: No.

MR. ARGENIO: Okay, thank you for coming in this evening, we'll see you soon when you get squared away with the town board.

AT YOUR SERVICE PLUMBING-HEATING-A/C SITE PLAN (09-17)

MR. ARGENIO: At Your Service Plumbing and Heating.
Somebody here to represent this? Okay, no problem.
Why didn't they show up?

MRS. PELESHUCK: They called me last week, I was just
looking.

SCHEIBLE LOT LINE CHANGE (12-15)

MR. ARGENIO: Scheible lot line change. Heritage Land Surveyor. Application proposes the transfer of approximately 1.04 acres for lot 29.2 to lot 29.1. The plan was previously reviewed at the 14 November planning board meeting. Mr. Shaw, why are you here?

MR. SHAW: There's nothing more to say, you said it all, other than the fact of at the last zoning board of appeals meeting we got our three necessary variances.

MR. ARGENIO: You have your variances in place?

MR. SHAW: And one more may I add the board gave us a variance for the required front yard depth while it's treated on this plan as an existing non-conforming condition just to keep things Kosher they added that to the variance application. So the final drawings before you will show that to the variances that were given by the ZBA this past Monday just that simple, we're asking to transfer as you said about 1.04 acres from a lot that's owned by Henry and Helga Scheible over to a parcel that's owned by Helga Scheible.

MR. ARGENIO: Can you walk us through this application from the genesis of it from the very beginning? Just this is a real simple application, that's why I asked. Mr. Shaw, he's here tonight, they really don't get much simpler than this, if you remember. The first time were you here?

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: Yes.

MR. ARGENIO: Danny, no more complex than Mr. Scheible wants to move a lot line so he can give a lot to his daughter. Henry Scheible, is that right?

MR. SCHEIBLE: That's correct.

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: Make it less expensive for her.

MR. SHAW: Reduce the amount of land that his daughter is going to own and he'd pick up the excess land.

MR. ARGENIO: That's essentially it. But here's the only wrinkle we have with this and I'm going to be very blunt with everybody is it went to county late for some reason, I'm not going to say it was a clerical error, I'm going to say it went to county late. So I don't

have a letter, we don't have a letter in hand from county saying it's okay. But in my wildest stupor of a dream I can't imagine any intermunicipal issues here, yes, you just stay there.

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: I hate to do this, Mr. Chairman, but I will make a motion to approve and I will tell you he's not my friend, okay, but I'm going to break his horns.

MR. ARGENIO: Hold that out for just a second. I just want to finish, correct me if I'm wrong, Nicole did call county today to say why don't we have the response yet on this ever so simple application? And the response they gave you was?

MRS. PELESHUCK: It will be here tomorrow.

MR. ARGENIO: We'll have it tomorrow.

MRS. PELESHUCK: It's ready but it's not signed.

MR. ARGENIO: So I'm going to suggest that we accept Henry's motion and we never do subject to county, this is an exception but I think it's very well justified if you guys agree with me. You guys okay with this? So Henry has made a motion for final approval.

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: I hate the guy's guts but it's okay.

MR. ARGENIO: Subject to the county thing that I just a announced. Anybody second?

MR. GALLAGHER: Second it.

ROLL CALL

MR. BROWN	AYE
MR. GALLAGHER	AYE
MR. VAN LEEUWEN	AYE
MR. SHERMAN	AYE
MR. ARGENIO	AYE

MR. ARGENIO: Thank you, Henry, for your patience. Thank you, Mr. Shaw, for coming up from North Carolina.

DISCUSSION

RIDGE RISE

MR. EDSALL: We received a traffic study in connection with the Ridge Rise project, Mr. Tomer Slutski's development, multi-family.

MR. ARGENIO: How many units, Mark?

MR. EDSALL: I don't recall because I don't have the file but it's a lot, it's a lot more than 20, probably 120 and it's increasing.

MR. ARGENIO: Behind U-Haul.

MR. EDSALL: It's my suggestion that the board authorize me to refer it to the firm of John Collins Engineers for review and I will coordinate their review and get back comments specific to the traffic study.

MR. ARGENIO: That's not referral to the state, referral to Collins?

MR. EDSALL: John Collins.

MR. ARGENIO: On our behalf, yes, and we'll notify Mr. Slutski that we'll be seeking him to sweeten the escrow pot so that the cost of that review will be borne by the applicant. Traffic guy's not cheap. Okay, you have been so authorized to do that. What's next?

MR. EDSALL: Second item, Masonic Lodge. I'm just asking that the board acknowledge a field change, they're going to be adding a safety fence enclosing the electronic equipment that houses the solar panels. It was something that was added on the Masons Ridge project, it's a good security item, just letting you know it's in the back of the building.

MR. ARGENIO: What is it enclosing?

MR. EDSALL: It's the wall mounted electronic controls and electric controls and electronic equipment for the--

MR. ARGENIO: Outdoors?

MR. EDSALL: For the solar panels, well, the solar panels are outdoors as well, that's where they get the most sunlight.

MR. ARGENIO: We're voting on who our engineer should be for next year's next meeting, just to let you know.

MR. EDSALL: So in any case, it's something that they're working out with the building inspector and it's a good improvement.

MR. ARGENIO: Anybody have a problem with that? Danny? Handle it.

LEIF SYVERSTEN

MR. EDSALL: Two referrals that Jenn referred to is Leif Syversten has the retail building near 94 on Riley Road, they're making, it's been--

MRS. GALLAGHER: Used to be the old--

MR. GALLAGHER: Flower shop, candle shop.

MR. EDSALL: He's looking to change the retail on it and he's looking to create some improved parking just pavement so all he's really doing is paving an existing parking lot so it's not a mess, I don't know.

MR. GALLAGHER: Change in use.

MR. ARGENIO: Sounds pretty simple.

MR. EDSALL: Retail, a retail.

MR. ARGENIO: So take care of it.

MR. EDSALL: Letting you know so if you see development they're just cleaning it up.

SWEET PEA'S CAFE

MR. EDSALL: And last one there's a place that cares to open called Sweet Pea's Cafe, which is where Ariel's Child used to be, everyone used to know as the Capachione building. They're looking to open up and make interior changes, it will be a change in use, will be the same use group, same parking, all interior modifications.

MR. ARGENIO: Same use group, same parking requirements, no parking improvements and interior?

MR. EDSALL: No changes outside, so technically, if it was, you could say it's a site plan but because they're not changing anything, I would suggest you refer it to the building department.

MR. ARGENIO: It's not really site plan, it's inside.

MR. EDSALL: It's a change in use.

MR. GALLAGHER: Opening it up.

MR. EDSALL: From Ariel's Child all the things they did to a cafe and they may have a couple seats but that's limited by the parking.

MR. ARGENIO: I think it's Jennifer's, so let's, Howard, you alright, Dave, you alright with that?

MR. EDSALL: Dominic is going to hold us up with another one.

DEIS FOR APPLE RIDGE

MR. CORDISCO: I'm going to relish this, thank you, I've got everyone here. Several meetings ago we had distributed the Draft Environmental Impact Statement from Apple Ridge and it's supposed to be under review and has been under review by the board. But we're at a point now where we should be providing comments. I would ask that the board authorize the consultants, namely Mark and myself, to provide comments to the applicant so that they could understand what technical issues they may have with their DEIS.

MR. ARGENIO: Authorizing giving you guys the ability to authorize that, does that trip any clocks starting or anything?

MR. CORDISCO: No, no, in fact, what they'd have to do is to the extent that their DEIS needs to be revised which I expect that it will be they'll have to resubmit and once they resubmit that will start a clock.

MR. ARGENIO: You guys are going to start looking at this thing?

MR. CORDISCO: We have been but if you have any--

MR. ARGENIO: Any questions?

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: No.

MR. CORDISCO: If you have any questions on it in your review or concerns, I think if you direct them to Mark you would include them as part of your comments, that way, they don't have to wait to come back to another meeting in January just to get comments on something that's already in the works.

MR. ARGENIO: Okay, you have been so authorized.

MR. CORDISCO: Thank you.

MR. ARGENIO: Is that it?

MR. EDSALL: Thank you.

MR. ARGENIO: See you next year. Motion to adjourn?

MR. BROWN: So moved.

MR. VAN LEEUWEN: Second it.

ROLL CALL

MR. BROWN	AYE
MR. GALLAGHER	AYE
MR. VAN LEEUWEN	AYE
MR. SHERMAN	AYE
MR. ARGENIO	AYE

Respectfully Submitted By:

Frances Roth
Stenographer